



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/550,890

09/27/2005

Heinz Focke

Q90107

3733

23373 7590 05/06/2009
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

DEMERE, CHRISTOPHER R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3782

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/06/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/550,890	Applicant(s) FOCKE ET AL.	
	Examiner CHRISTOPHER DEMEREE	Art Unit 3782	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 12-15 and 18-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 12-15 and 18-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/24/2009</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/21/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 12-15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Focke et al. (US 2002/0179464 A1; hereinafter Focke) in view of Bohdan (US 6832677 B2).

Regarding claims 18-20, Focke teaches a hinge-lid box for cigarettes or the like comprising a box part with box front wall (12), box rear wall (13), box side walls (14 and 15) and base wall (16), and a lid (11) which is pivotably attached to the box part and which comprises lid front wall (17), lid rear wall (18), lid side walls (20) and end wall (21), characterized by the following features; the hinge-lid pack with box part and lid is configured as having an octagonal cross-section across its entire dimensions (see

Art Unit: 3782

Figure 5; Examiner considers the container to have eight distinct sides), a lateral region facing the box side walls and lid side walls is configured as having a cross-section that is trapezoid in shape (see Fig. 5; Examiner considers the end portions to have a trapezoidal cross sectional shape due to the three distinct sides), with converging material strips, namely legs (65 and 66), connected respectively to the box front wall, box rear wall and to the lid front wall and lid rear wall (see Fig. 6). Fogle also teaches a collar made from a separate blank (see Fig. 7) conforming to the contour of the cross section of the container comprising marginal material strips (37 and 38) which abut the inner side of the rear box legs and lid legs (Col 4 lines 39-50).

Focke lacks teaching that said material strips or legs are directed at an angle of approximately 30 degrees to the box front wall and approximately 60 degrees to the transverse box side wall. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the material strips or legs to be directed at an angle of 30 degrees to the front wall and 60 degrees to the side wall, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Focke, as modified above, discloses the claimed invention except for the cigarettes configured within the pack in its marginal region - in the region of the trapezoid-shaped cross section - a marginal transverse row with two adjacent cigarettes and a transverse row arranged adjacent thereto with three adjacent cigarettes, with the cigarettes of the transverse row preferably assuming a saddle position with respect to

Art Unit: 3782

the cigarettes of the transverse row. Bohdan teaches a cigarette channeling device wherein cigarettes are placed within a package in a 2-3-2 configuration substantially similar to that of the instant application. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Focke's cigarette configuration in order to maximize the packing space within the package using Bohdan's channeling device (Bohdan; Col 2 lines 3-12). Examiner notes that with the modifications as described above that the leg strips would have a diameter greater than the diameter of one cigarette yet less than the aggregate diameter of two adjacent cigarettes.

Regarding claim 12, Focke, as modified above, teaches a container characterized in that the width of the side walls comprised of two overlapping side tabs (22-25) is somewhat less than the dimensions of two adjacent cigarettes, in particular of the marginal first transverse row.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, Focke, as modified above, teaches a container characterized by the angulations (47 and 48) formed as rounded corners, namely with a circular-shaped rounding formed by curvature strips (see Fig. 3), specifically by conforming to the contour and dimension of the cigarettes lying opposite the angulations.

Regarding claim 15, Focke, as modified above, teaches a container characterized in that base comer tabs (30) and lid comer tabs (31) are arranged as the continuation of interior box side tabs and lid side tabs (see Fig. 6), respectively, with the comer tabs being adapted to the contour of the base wall and end wall by means of

Art Unit: 3782

corresponding exposed tab edges, or corresponding in their transverse dimensions to the width of their assigned side tabs.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see Pages 6-10, filed 2/24/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 11, 12 and 17 under USC 103(a) in view of Draghetti and Bohdan have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Focke et al. (US 2002/0179464 A1) in view of Bohdan.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER DEMEREE whose telephone number is (571)270-1982. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:00 AM-5:00PM, Alt Fri, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3782

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher Demeree/
Examiner, Art Unit 3782

/Gary E. Elkins/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3782